

BOARD POLICY LETTER

26 OCTOBER 1970R

REVISED & REISSUED 14 JULY 1975 AS BPL  
(Revision in Script)

Remimeo  
IEM Comm  
Hat  
HCO

CANCELS  
HCO POLICY LETTER OF 26 OCTOBER 1970  
SAME TITLE

INSTITUTIONAL AND SHOCK CASES

POSTING OF BONDS

(Clarifies HCO PL of 16 May 70 "Institutional and Shock Cases, Petitions From". Refer also to SPL of 14 Dec 1970, Same Title, Legal.)

There are many persons in the category of Institutional or Shock Cases who have been on our lines for years, have continued in processing long enough to be helped, have made gains and have supported orgs, have followed instructions given them and in general have maintained an excellent record.

These persons should not be arbitrarily forced into the same category as a person who is arriving at our doorstep for the first time.

Only those who have already shown that they do not as a rule follow one or more of the stipulations of the 16 May '70 Policy should be required to bind themselves to those stipulations when newly applying for service.

An HCO Area Sec is at liberty to waive the stipulation requiring a bond be posted if the person's record shows it highly unlikely that such a precaution is necessary, but is held responsible should trouble ensue and it is found that there were grounds for demanding a bond.

The opinion of the Guardian's Office can be obtained. The C/S can advise as to past case progress, the auditing actions to be next undertaken, the hours being purchased. The Course Supervisor can advise likewise with regard to student applicants who fall under the 16 May '70 Policy.

If all seems plain sailing, there would ordinarily be no reason to delay the person any further in receiving the service requested, once he has put his signature to the agreement laid out in the BPL 14 December 1970.

THE AMOUNT OF THE BOND

The sum of £100 (or equivalent) specified in the BPL 14 Dec '70 Policy Letter is considered by Legal as being appropriate.

One or two areas have demanded huge sums be posted in bond, thus effectively stopping any further progress.

It was not the intention of the 16 May '70 Policy to make it impossible for institutional and shock cases to

obtain training and processing.

If a person has already obtained the consent of an org and an Auditor to help them, there can be no reason to then block him off from that help by demanding a bond that he cannot pay for.

### ROUTING OF PETITIONS

It should be noted that an HCO Area Sec, HCO Exec Sec or Executive Director or CO may be petitioned by an institutional or shock case. They do not all have to petition L. Ron Hubbard.

One whose petition is denied locally by an Exec Dir should be told that a petition may be sent to LRH and in this case a CSW on the why of the local refusal must be sent with the person's petition to LRH.

It is not correct that every petition on these lines must be routed to LRH, and to LRH only.

LRH Pers Comm

for

L. RON HUBEARD  
FOUNDER

Revised & Reissued as BPL  
by Flag Mission 1234 2nd  
Molly Gilliam

Approved by the  
Commodore's Staff Aides  
and the  
Board of Issues

for the  
BOARDS OF DIRECTORS  
of the  
CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY (R)

BDCS:LRH:CSA:BI:KU:MG:mg  
Copyright © 1970, 1975  
by L. Ron Hubbard  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

This is reproduced and issued to you by  
The Publications Organization, U. S.