

# **The Organization Executive Course**

by  
**L. Ron Hubbard**

**EXECUTIVE  
DIVISION**

**VOLUME  
7**

*Published in the USA by*  
**Bridge Publications, Inc.**  
4751 Fountain Avenue  
Los Angeles, California 90029

ISBN 0-88404-598-6

*Published in all other countries by*  
**NEW ERA Publications International ApS**  
Store Kongensgade 55  
1264 Copenhagen K, Denmark

ISBN 87-7336-757-5

© 1991  
L. Ron Hubbard Library  
All Rights Reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced  
without the permission of the copyright owner.

DIANETICS, SCIENTOLOGY, HUBBARD, E-METER, FLAG, HCO, LRH, KEY TO LIFE,  
LIFE ORIENTATION, FEBC, MARK V, ARC STRAIGHTWIRE, FALSE PURPOSE RUNDOWN,  
METHOD ONE, NED, NEW ERA DIANETICS, PURIFICATION RUNDOWN, PURIF, STUDENT HAT,  
CLEAR CERTAINTY RUNDOWN, OEC, OT, SHSBC, THE BRIDGE, *Ability* magazine, *The Auditor*  
magazine, BOOK ONE, HQS, INCOMM, L. RON HUBBARD, the SCIENTOLOGY Symbol, the  
DIANETICS Symbol in Circle and the Standard Admin Symbol are trademarks and service  
marks owned by Religious Technology Center and used with its permission.  
SCIENTOLOGIST is a collective membership mark designating members of the  
churches and missions of Scientology.

*Printed in the United States of America*

Editor's Note: In 1982, LRH gave the trademarks of the Scientology Religion to RTC. The purpose of RTC is to protect the trademarks of the Scientology religion and to ensure that the Dianetics and Scientology technology remains in good hands and is properly used. Since 1986, the copyrighted works of LRH have been owned by the L. Ron Hubbard Library.

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE  
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 29 SEPTEMBER 1982

Remimeo

*Keeping Scientology Working Series 30*

**MISREPRESENTATION OF  
DIANETICS AND SCIENTOLOGY**

There is a vital legal and PR point which should be broadly known.

“Standard Tech” is contained in the official volumes of the technical services and in HCO Bulletins and charts within them and in textbooks on the subject.

These are, none of them, vague. They are very explicit.

The data is thus not only available but taught in courses.

Now and then we hear of “somebody’s case messed up.” Or that someone was complaining or dissatisfied with results. Research into such cases uniformly shows that STANDARD TECH was not applied.

Thus one arrives at an interesting point: *Standard* tech has never harmed anybody.

Recently there was a spate of “out-tech” on some executives that “messed up their cases.” (This of course impaired their effectiveness and made them feel “Dianetics and Scientology did not work and so was not worth defending.”)

A careful review of these cases showed that the “Case Supervisor” and a small clique of “auditors” (all of whom knew better but who were serving their own ends) were NOT applying Dianetics and Scientology but were only *pretending* to. (The clique has been detected and thoroughly handled and so are the “messed-up cases”—by standard tech of course and so successfully.)

But this brings up an interesting and useful legal and public relations point:

When people complain and are “dissatisfied with results,” it will be found that Dianetics and Scientology were NOT being used on them.

Someone was doing something else and calling it Dianetics and Scientology.

This is, of course, misrepresentation and a violation of trademark and copyright law.

A similar circumstance would occur if someone put transmission oil in a package marked “Green Meadow Butter” and sold it and advised its use as “Green Meadow Butter” when it isn’t.

Legal and PR situations from people who have complaints stem from two reasons:

- A. They are themselves engaged for whatever reason in some self-serving end by complaining or
- B. They did *not* receive Dianetics and Scientology.

A review of any such cases will bear this out. It is not a matter of making minor errors with the tech. It is not a matter of poor C/S judgment in applying it. The situation will be found to be flagrant.

Someone was only pretending it was Dianetics and Scientology and doing something else and for some other reason.

Here we have a problem in labels. The labels “Dianetics” and “Scientology” could illegally be placed on anything and that anything might be quite bad.

Thus it is the person misrepresenting who is actionable, not the originator or the properly licensed and supervised entities.

The operating question is “What did he or they do that they were calling Dianetics and Scientology standard tech?” And “Let me have their names so the misrepresentation can be stopped.”

Don't let yourself be conned by an old legal or PR trick of switching labels!

Dianetics and Scientology are a precise system that works.

L. RON HUBBARD  
Founder