

HCO EXECUTIVE LETTER OF OCTOBER 26, 1964.

Gen. Non-Resumo

TO: HCO SEC
ASSE/ORG SEC
LETTER REG

FROM: RON

SUBJECT: HCO EXEC. LTR. OF SEPT. 24, 1964 - RE DONALD KINGSBURY, REMOVAL OF NAME FROM MAILING LISTS.

Donald Kingsbury, an instructor at McGill University in Montreal, began to release the study materials, incorrectly presented, without credit to Scientology.

On investigation he failed to complete his HCA in Washington DC and yet was using Scientology materials to write his own incorrectly rendered texts.

This is then the making of a squirrel. I have now written him in response to his letter to us:

My letter may serve to (a) Drive home a tech point about misunderstood words and (b) Give you a model of the kind of letter to write somebody who is squirreling; and the exact processing action, in or out of session, to recommend or do:

"Dear Donald,

Recently I noted you had been releasing the how to study materials of Scientology without credit to Scientology and dropped you off the mailing list for the following reason: The technology of study was put together by me this year at a fantastic investment of hours of work and after studying another disrelated subject somewhat new to me. This was a three year course I completed to credit in five months, all to assemble how to study. The text book is being written. The data was put on tapes for use in orgs but not for release.

Writing therefore any part of these materials as an independent effort without crediting Scientology is a violation of confidence, as all we do in Central Orgs to teach is part of this same body of materials.

The goal of this text is to reach universities and schools with Scientology. You therefore find yourself cutting a line by premature unauthorized release.

On your HCA you undoubtedly have struck a word you did not know the definition of, and this, unbeknownst to you, is the reason for your departure from course in DC.

This is because somewhere earlier you started the study of some subject you think Scientology is similar to. In short, earlier than Scientology or Dianetics you attempted the study of some similar subject.

In that subject you did not get the definitions of certain words and it got you hung up.

Your proper procedure now is to recall what subject that earlier one was and honestly discover the term or terms in it that caused your upset with it and get them defined for yourself and understood.

That will free you from that earlier subject.

When you have accomplished that, we will probably have in print our new dictionary. But even if not you should trace down the Dianetic and Scientology terms you have not understood and get those defined.

You could then complete your HQS and HCA courses in a very short time.

Your rather consistent ARC break with me stems directly from the above and if you care to apply and do what I have given you, you will then find your own capacity and causativeness greatly increased.

You are very brilliant and a very valuable person and owe it to yourself to straighten this out.

If this letter startles you, I am sorry for it, but it happens to be the technical truth of the matter."

"None of the above technology, since it is part of the study materials not yet publicly published, is for use or release. It is already copyrighted by tape copyright.

The materials of Dianetics and Scientology, unlike university subjects, are copyrighted. I have seen too many people hurt by irresponsible non-duplication of these materials not to be active in deterring their unauthorized release.

Hoping you can pilot your way through the above suggested course of personal action and thus become quite capable in assisting university dissemination of study materials at some future date, I remain.

Sincerely,

L. RON HUBBARD "

L. RON HUBBARD

RH:jw

Copyright © 1964

by L. Ron Hubbard

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED